

EXPOSITORY REMARKS ON THREE-DIMENSIONAL GRAVITY AND HYPERBOLIC INVARIANTS

A. A. Bytsenko ^(a)¹ and M. E. X. Guimarães ^(b)²

^(a) *Departamento de Física, Universidade Estadual de Londrina
Caixa Postal 6001, Londrina-Paraná, Brazil*

^(b) *Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal Fluminense,
Av. Gal. Milton Tavares de Souza s/n, Niterói-RJ, Brazil*

Abstract

We consider complex invariants associated with compact real three-dimensional hyperbolic spaces. The contribution of the Chern-Simons invariants of irreducible $U(n)$ -flat connections on hyperbolic fibered manifolds to the low order expansion of the quantum gravitational path integral is analyzed.

Keywords: 3D gravity; hyperbolic invariants

PACS: 04.60.-m; 04.62.+v

¹ abyts@uel.br

² emilia@if.uff.br

1 Introduction

In the Euclidean path integral approach to quantum gravity the partition function can be presented as a weighted sum over four-space [1]. Computation of the partition function is not easy to implement because of non-renormalizability of general relativity, unbounded from below the action, and problem of classification of relevant geometries. Three-dimensional gravity provides a simple model which is renormalizable at the quantum level, and its relationship to Chern-Simons theories makes a systematic perturbation expansion possible.

In this note we consider (up to the first order of loop expansion) the contribution to the gravitational path integral associated with three-dimensional real hyperbolic spaces. Let $X = G/K$ be an irreducible rank one symmetric space of non-compact type. Thus G will be a connected non-compact simple split rank one Lie group with finite center, and $K \subset G$ will be a maximal compact subgroup. The object of interest is the groups $G = SO_1(3, 1)$ and $K = SO(3)$. The corresponding symmetric space of non-compact type is the real hyperbolic space $X = \mathbb{H}^3 = SO_1(3, 1)/SO(3)$ of sectional curvature -1 . Gravitational path integral in the Euclidean sector has the form

$$Z(X) = \int [dg] \exp \left\{ (16\pi G)^{-1} \int_X d^3x \sqrt{g} (R[g] - 2\Lambda) \right\}, \quad (1.1)$$

where the integration is over all Riemannian metrics g on a three-dimensional space X , $R[g]$ is the scalar curvature and Λ is the cosmological constant. The extrema of the action (1.1) are Einstein spaces for which $R_{\mu\nu}[g] = 2\Lambda g_{\mu\nu}$. In three dimensions the Ricci tensor completely determines the full curvature, it means that the Einstein space always has constant curvature. Thus, an extremum (X, g) of the action must be an elliptic ($\Lambda > 0$), hyperbolic ($\Lambda < 0$), or flat ($\Lambda = 0$) space. In the neighborhood of an extremum of (1.1) the semiclassical approximation gives (see, for example, [2])

$$Z_{sc}(X) = |\pi_0(Diff X)|^{-1} \sum_{\text{extrema}} D(X) \exp \left\{ \text{sgn}(\Lambda) \frac{\text{Vol}(X)}{4\pi G |\Lambda|^{1/2}} \right\}, \quad (1.2)$$

where $|\pi_0(Diff X)|$ is the order of the mapping class group of X , and $\text{Vol}(X)$ is the volume of X with the constant curvature metric. We comment here a possible role and contribution of the sum over topologies of invariants associated with hyperbolic geometry (the analytic torsion with its relation to the Chern-Simons functional) which might be significant in three-dimensional quantum gravity. Note also the recent data obtained by the Wilkinson microwave anisotropy probe (WMAP) [3] satellite confirmed, and set new standards of accuracy to the previous COBE's measurement of a low quadrupole moment in the angular power spectrum of the CMB, which is in accordance with the assumption that the topology of the universe might be non-trivial, with particular emphasis on the case of a hyperbolic universe.

2 Complex topological invariants

The prefactor $D(X)$ in (1.2) is a combination of determinants coming from small fluctuations around g and from gauge fixing; it can be computed by taking in the connection between three-dimensional gravity and Chern-Simons theory [4]. Let us consider the classical Chern-Simons functional $CS(X_\Gamma)$ which is a function on a space of connections A on bundles over a compact oriented three-manifold X_Γ where Γ is a co-compact subgroup of G . The value of the function $CS(X_\Gamma)$ on the space of connections $\mathfrak{A}_{X_\Gamma}$, $A \in \mathfrak{A}_{X_\Gamma}$, at a critical point can be regarded as a

topological invariant of a pair (X_Γ, χ) , where χ is an orthogonal representation of Γ . If a smooth four-manifold M has a boundary $\partial M \equiv X_\Gamma$, the index of a Dirac operator $\mathfrak{D}_A$ acting on M is given by Atiyah-Patodi-Singer theorem [5, 6, 7]

$$\text{Index}(\mathfrak{D}_A) = \int_M \text{ch}(M) \hat{A}(M) - \frac{1}{2}[\eta(0, \mathfrak{D}_\chi) + h(0, \mathfrak{D}_\chi)], \quad (2.1)$$

where the Chern character $\text{ch}(M)$ and the $\hat{A}(M)$ -genus are the usual polynomial related to Riemannian curvature of M , $h(0, \mathfrak{D}_\chi)$ is the dimension of the space of harmonic spinors on X_Γ ($h(0, \mathfrak{D}_\chi) = \dim \text{Ker } \mathfrak{D}_\chi =$ multiplicity of the 0-eigenvalue of $\mathfrak{D}_\chi$ acting on X_Γ); $\mathfrak{D}_\chi$ is a Dirac operator on X_Γ acting on spinors with coefficients in χ . The Chern-Simons invariant of $X_\Gamma = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^3$ can be derived from the index of the Dirac operator. The following formula for the $U(n)$ -Chern-Simons invariant of an irreducible flat connection on the real hyperbolic three-manifolds holds [8]:

$$CS_{U(n)}(X_\Gamma) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \log \left[\frac{Z(0, \mathfrak{D})^{\dim \chi}}{Z(0, \mathfrak{D}_\chi)} \right] \quad \text{modulo } (\mathbb{Z}/2), \quad (2.2)$$

where $Z(s, \mathfrak{D}_\chi)$ is the Selberg-type (Shintani) spectral function. It gives the explicit form of the prefactor in semiclassical approximation to the Chern-Simons theory. The first quantum correction to the partition function associated with the Chern-Simons gauge theory has the form $W(k) = \int [DA] e^{\sqrt{-1}kCS(X_\Gamma)}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. The function $W(k)$ can be evaluated in terms of L^2 -analytic torsion $[T_{an}(X_\Gamma)]^2$, the spectral zeta function $\zeta(s, |\mathfrak{D}|)$ and the spectral function $Z(s, \mathfrak{D}_\chi)$. The final result is [9]

$$W(k) = \left(\frac{\pi}{k} \right)^{\zeta(0, |\mathfrak{D}|)/2} Z(0, \mathfrak{D})^{-1/4} [T_{an}(X_\Gamma)]^{1/2} [\text{Vol}(\Gamma \backslash G)]^{-\dim H^0(\nabla)/2}. \quad (2.3)$$

The analytic torsion for manifold X_Γ has been calculated (in the presence of non-vanishing Betti numbers $b_i \equiv b_i(X_\Gamma)$) in [9], and it is given by

$$[T_{an}(X_\Gamma)]^2 = \frac{(b_1 - b_0)! [Z(2, b_0)]^2}{[b_0!]^2 Z(1, b_1 - b_0)} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{3\pi} \text{Vol}(\Gamma \backslash G) \right). \quad (2.4)$$

Lower K-groups and holonomy invariants. Let as before X_Γ be a real compact oriented three dimensional hyperbolic manifold. Its fundamental group Γ comes with map to $PSL(2, \mathbb{C}) \equiv SL(2, \mathbb{C})/\{\pm Id\}$; therefore in general one gets a class in group homology $H_3(GL(\mathbb{C}))$. For algebraic K-groups the following result hold [10, 11]: for a field $\mathcal{F}$,

$$K_j(\mathcal{F}) \cong H_j(GL(j, \mathcal{F}))/H_j(GL(j-1, \mathcal{F})). \quad (2.5)$$

The group $K_3(\mathcal{F})$ is built out of $K_3(\mathcal{F})$ and the Bloch group $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{F})$. There is an exact sequence due to Bloch and Wigner:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow H_3(PSL(2, \mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow 0. \quad (2.6)$$

The Bloch group $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{C})$ is known to be uniquely divisible, so it has canonically the structure of a $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space [12]. The $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ in the Bloch-Wigner exact sequence is precisely the torsion of $H_3(PSL(2, \mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z})$. Since we are looking for $H_3(GL(2, \bullet))$, the homology invariant of a hyperbolic three-manifold should live in the Bloch group $\mathfrak{B}(\bullet)$. The following result confirmed this statement. A real oriented finite-volume hyperbolic three-manifold $X = X_\Gamma$ has an invariant [13]: $\beta(X) \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{C})$. Actually $\beta(X) \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{F})$ for an associated number field

$\mathcal{F}(X)$. In fact, under the (normalized) Bloch regulator $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}$, invariant $\beta(X)$ goes to $\{(2/\pi)\text{vol}(X) + 4\pi\sqrt{-1}CS(X)\}$. Let assume that $\mathcal{F}(X)$ can be embedded in $\mathbb{C}$ as an imaginary quadratic extension of a totally real number field, then $CS(X)$ is rational. Conjecturally, $CS(X)$ is irrational if $\mathcal{F}(X) \cap \overline{\mathcal{F}(X)} \subset \mathbb{R}$ [14].

Thurston's suggestion. Recall that in two dimensional quantum gravity, as defined by string theories, the sum over all topologies can be performed in the functional integral formalism: $\int [dg] = \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} \int_{(\text{genus})} [dg]$. A necessary first step to implement this formalism to the three dimensional case is the classification of all possible three geometries (X, g) by Kleinian groups [15]. If Thurston's conjecture is true [16], then every compact closed three dimensional manifold can be represented as follows: $\bigcup_{\ell=1}^{\infty} (\Gamma_{n_\ell} \backslash G_{n_\ell})$, where $n_\ell \in (1, \dots, 8)$ corresponds to one of the eight geometries, and Γ is the (discrete) isometry group of that geometry. It has to be noted that gluing the above geometries, characterizing different coupling constants by a complicated set of moduli, is a very difficult task. Perhaps this can be done, however, with a bit of luck.

Important geometric invariants which can be defined are the volume and the Chern–Simons invariants. Thurston suggests to combine these two invariants into a single complex invariant whose absolute value is $\exp((2/\pi)\text{Vol}(X))$ and whose argument is the Chern-Simons invariant of X , $CS(X)$ [16]. Taking into account the Thurston's classification of all possible three geometries and $\beta(X)$ (see previous section) this invariant can also be presented in the form

$$\mathfrak{W} = \exp \left\{ \bigcup_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \text{Vol}(\Gamma_{n_\ell} \backslash G_{n_\ell}) + 4\pi\sqrt{-1}CS(\Gamma_{n_\ell} \backslash G_{n_\ell}) \right) \right\}. \quad (2.7)$$

If we make the intuitive requirement that only irreducible manifolds have to be taken into account (for instance, supersymmetry surviving arguments in favor of this requirement [17]), then the manifolds modelled on $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ have to be excluded from Thurston's list. There is only a finite number of manifolds of the form $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{R}^N$, $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{S}^N$ for any N [18]. Perhaps the more important contribution to the vacuum persistence amplitude should be given by the hyperbolic geometry, the other geometries appearing only for a small number of exceptions [19].

The Haken's class. Going back to the calculation of sums over topologies, we note the particular problem of classification of manifolds of a given class. A solution of this task lies in producing of two algorithms. First of them, the numeration algorithm, must enumerate, possibly with repetitions, the manifolds of a given class. The second one, the recognition algorithm, applied to any two given manifolds of the class in question, must tell us whether or not they are homeomorphic. The joint action of these algorithms leads to the appearance of an infinite list which contains all manifolds of the given class without duplication, and therefore the manifolds can be described algorithmically. Such a solution of the classification problem can be regarded as satisfactory only up to a first approximation (in a weak sense), usually mathematicians require more. In general, hyperbolic manifolds have not been completely classified and therefore a systematic computation is not yet possible. However it is not the case for sufficiently large manifolds [20], which give an essential contribution to the torsion (2.4). There is a class of three dimensional sufficiently large hyperbolic manifolds which admits arbitrary large value of $b_1(X) = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})$. Sufficiently large manifold contains a surface Σ whereas $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ is finite and $\pi_1(\Sigma) \subset \pi_1(X)$. It is known that any three-manifold can be triangulated, and hence can be partitioned into handles. Since the existence and uniqueness of a decomposition of an orientable manifold as the sum of simple orientable parts have been established (see [21, 22]), the question of homeomorphy can be considered only for irreducible manifolds. The method proposed by Haken [20] permits to describe all normal surfaces of a three-manifold X which has been partitioned on handles previously. The Haken's theory of normal surfaces was further

verified for the procedure of geometric summation of surfaces. As a result, the classification theorem says [23]:

- (i) There exists an algorithm for enumerating all of the Haken manifolds;
- (ii) There exists an algorithm for recognizing homeomorphy of the Haken manifolds.

Acknowledgements

A. A. Bytsenko and M. E. X. Guimarães would like to thank the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq, Brazil) for partial support.

References

- [1] S. W. Hawking, in *General Relativity: An Einstein Centenary Survey*, Editors: S. W. Hawking and W. Israel (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1979).
- [2] M. Anderson, S. Carlip, J. G. Ratcliffe, S. Surya and S. T. Tschantz, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **21** (2004) 729.
- [3] H. V. Peiris et al., *Ap. JS* **148** (2003) 213.
- [4] E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys. B* **311** (1988) 46.
- [5] M. F. Atiyah, V. K. Patodi and I. M. Singer, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **77** (1975) 43.
- [6] M. F. Atiyah, V. K. Patodi and I. M. Singer, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **78** (1975) 405.
- [7] M. F. Atiyah, V. K. Patodi and I. M. Singer, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **79** (1976) 71.
- [8] L. Bonora and A. A. Bytsenko, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **23** (2006) 3895.
- [9] A. A. Bytsenko, L. Vanzo and S. Zerbini, *Phys. Lett. B* **459** (1999) 535.
- [10] A. Suslin, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **34** (1984) 301.
- [11] A. Suslin, *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov.* **183** (1990) 180.
- [12] A. A. Suslin, *Proc. Int. Cong. Math. Berkeley 1986*, **1** (1987) 222.
- [13] W. D. Neumann and J. Yang, *Ens. Mat.* **41** (1995) 281.
- [14] J. Rosenberg, Mini-Course for the Joint Summer Research Conference on Algebraic K-Theory, Seattle, July, 1997.
- [15] A. A. Bytsenko, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **41** (2008) 045402.
- [16] W. Thurston, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **6** (1982) 357.
- [17] A. A. Bytsenko, M. E. X. Guimarães and J. A. Helayel-Neto, *Proc. Sci. WC2004* (2004) 17.
- [18] J. A. Wolf, *Spaces of Constant Curvature*, (Publish or Perish, Berkeley, 1977).
- [19] A. Besse, *Geométrie Riemannienne Eu Dimension 4*, Séminaire Arthur Besse, 1978/1979 (Cedic/Fernand Nathan, Paris 1981).

- [20] W. Haken, Acta Math. **105** (1961) 245.
- [21] J. Milnor, Amer. J. Math. **84** (1962) 1.
- [22] J. Hempel, *3-Manifolds*, Annals of Mathematics Studies **86** (Princeton University Press, NJ 1976).
- [23] S. V. Matveev, Russian Math. Surveys **52** (1997) 1029.